DNA barcoding: An emerging tool for precise identification and certification of planting stock in taxonomically challenging bamboo species

K. Sijimol, Suma Arun Dev*, E. M. Muralidharan and V. B. Sreekumar. Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, Thrissur, Kerala-680653, India

Abstract: Bamboos are a group of woody arborescent grasses that provides for the livelihood of a large number of people living in rural areas in many parts of the world. Owing to the increased demand for bamboos for traditional as well as new commercial uses, there is an urgent need for undertaking plantation of the commercially important bamboo species. To ensure higher productivity it is also important that the plantations be established using superior quality planting materials. From the taxonomic standpoint, bamboos are a challenging group of plants. Species identification in bamboos is mainly based on morphological characters and the unpredictable flowering behavior coupled with environmental plasticity leads to taxonomic complexities. This is particularly confounding when the plants are in the juvenile stage as in the bamboo nurseries. This poses certain hurdles in the certification of superior planting materials owing to the lack of distinguishable morphological characters. Mixing up of species with similar morphological features is rather common in bamboo nurseries and mistakes are realized only when the plants attain maturity after few years. Hence a well defined molecular tool like DNA barcoding is envisaged to tackle these taxonomic complexities in species identification as well as for the certification of planting materials. This article brings out the significance of DNA barcoding in species identification and to resolve taxonomic complexities pertaining to bamboo. The ongoing research in the use of DNA markers in taxonomy and biosystematics of bamboo and the challenges faced is also reviewed.

Key words: Bamboo, taxonomy, species identification, certification, DNA barcoding

INTRODUCTION

Bamboo, belonging to the subfamily Bambusoideae within the grass family, Poaceae, holds an important position among the non-timber forest products, supporting the livelihood potential of the people living in rural areas. Given the multifarious purposes to which it is put to use, both in socio-economical as well as ecological aspects, they are commonly known as 'Poor man's timber' and 'Green Gold of India' (Orhnberger and Georrings, 1986; Tewari, 1992). Bamboos are naturally distributed throughout the tropical and subtropical regions of the world except in Europe (Bystriakova, 2003). Worldwide, there are 1400 species of temperate and woody bamboos belonging to 115 genera (BPG, 2012). The distribution of bamboos extends from 51° N latitude in Japan to 47° S latitude in South Argentina, where as South America and East Asia are

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed: sumadev@kfri.res.in

considered to be the centre of bamboo diversity. In India, 130 bamboo species under 18 genera are distributed in the Western Ghats, North Eastern India and Andaman and Nicobar Islands (Kumar, 2011) and has the second largest reserve of bamboo resources in the world next to China.

Taxonomic complexities in bamboo

Traditional identification of plant species is mainly based on vegetative as well as floral characters. In bamboos most of the vegetative characters are not stable as well as not uniformly applicable to all species. Hence their identification and classification poses certain problems for the taxonomists. The incongruent flowering behavior resulting in unavailability of floral parts as well as vegetative parts also found to be hurdle in identification. Apart from this, the morphological characters commonly employed in the traditional taxonomic classification of bamboos, show close affinities among some species. Moreover, identification and classification of bamboo using anatomical features also didn't prove to be successful (Goyal et al., 2013). Various studies reported that the same bamboo species has been classified by different taxonomists in different genera or same species were described in different names and consequently led to many revisions in various genera over the past few decades. Bahadur and Jain (1981) described Bambusa arundinaceae var. gigantea, later on, Bennet and Gaur (1990) assigned this variety as Bambusa bambos var. gigantea, and based on morphological similarity synonymised under Bambusa bambos. Several revisions have also been happened in the genus *Dendrocalamus* in which Gamble (1890) pointed out the resemblance of morphological characters of Oxytenanthera stocksii Munro (1868) with the genus Dendrocalamus and placed O. stocksii under the genus Dendrocalamus. Munro (1868) initially described the genus Oxytenanthera with type specimen, Oxytenanthera abyssinica. Another species, Oxytenanthera monadelpha has been transferred to a new genus Pseudoxytenanthera (Sodestrom and Ellis, 1987) based on vegetative as well as floral characters, while Majumdar (1989) merged the genus Pseudoxytenanthera into Oxytenanthera due to its resemblance with type specimen, Oxytenanthera abyssinica. But, Sharma (1996) pointed out that morphological characters are not sufficient enough to separate the genus Pseudoxytenanthera from Oxytenanthera Munro and the generic name Oxytenanthera is retained. Kumar and Remesh (2008) merged Oxytenanthera ritchiei (Munro) Blatt. & McCann to the genus Munrochloa Kumar & Remesh and a new combination Munrochloa ritchiei (Munro) Kumar & Remesh was added to the genus. Similar confusions also happened in the genus Ochlandra Thw., an endemic reed bamboo, described with 11 species and three varieties (Orhnberger and Georring, 1986) which was reduced to ten species after several taxonomic treatments by different authors (Kumar, 1995; Seethalakshmi and Kumar, 1998; Kumar et al., 1999; Kumar et al., 2001; Unnikrishnan, 2003 and Kumar, 2011). Another example of generic treatment is between Arundinaria and Sinarundinaria; Chao and Renvoize (1989) after several revisions transferred all species from the temperate woody bamboo genus, Arundinaria to Sinarundinaria, whereas Nakai (1925) treated some Arundinaria species under the genus Indocalamus. Seethalakshmi and Kumar (1998) also supported the generic concept of Chao and Renvoize (1989). However, Attigala et al. (2016) conducted a thorough investigation of the tribe Arundinarieae based on molecular as well as morphological data and treated South Indian and Sri Lankan Arundinaria genera as separate entity and the genus Arundinaria is now recognized as Kuruna representing the twelfth major linage of Arundinarieae distinguished by a combination of vegetative and reproductive characters. The genus Teinostachyum originally described by Munro (1868) under the subtribe Melocanninae. Gamble (1896) and Dransfield (1980) treated Cephalostachyum, Teinostachyum, Pseudostachyum, Schizostachyum as separate genera of this subtribe, while Kumar (2011) supported the concept of Gamble (1896) and Dransfield (1980). The taxonomy of bamboos thus appears to be in a constant state of fluidity due to the complexities arising out of the dependence on morphological characters and hence a supplementary molecular tool is often envisaged to tackle the existing complexities in the species identity in many bamboo genera.

Identification and certification of bamboos

Unlike, other grass species of Poaceae, bamboos have some exceptional morphological features like culm sheath, ligules, well developed branching system, rhizome pattern, among others. These morphological characters played an important role in taxonomic identification and systematics of bamboo species. But the morphological characters and terminology used for the identification are interpreted differently by taxonomists all over the world. Usui (1957) studied the morphology of rhizome, culm sheath and inflorescence for the identification of bamboos while McClure (1966), Sodestrom and Ellis (1988) considered the leaf anatomy for the species identification. Conventionally it is the flower parts that play an important role in taxonomy of plants, however the long and often unpredictable flowering behaviour characteristic of most bamboo is the major hurdle for species identification. The field identification of some bamboo species is thus extremely difficult even for an expert in taxonomy due to the high degree of morphological similarities.

The planting materials commonly used for the establishment of bamboo plantations *viz*. seedlings, rooted culms, branch cuttings, rhizome transplants and micropropagated plantlets lack all these specific features associated with the mature culms. Identification of species for the purpose of certification of these planting materials produced in the nurseries before large scale establishment of plantations is thus often difficult. Bamboo seeds of dubious identity are often offered for sale by unscrupulous traders. In the current scenario in many parts of the world, like in India where several species are cultivated, the possibility of misidentified clumps being mass multiplied inadvertently or at times even intentionally, is of great concern. Thus a supplementary tool which imparts more precision than the conventional methods has been a need for a long time to sort out the complexities existing in the traditional bamboo taxonomy.

Molecular markers in bamboo taxonomy

With the advent of PCR technology, PCR based molecular markers, ranging from

RAPD, ISSR, AFLP and SSR, among others have been extensively used for multifarious purposes due to their abundance, precision and reproducibility irrespective of the environment. Extensive progress has also been achieved in bamboo research using molecular markers starting with the precise identification of bamboo genotypes, for the assessment of genetic variation as well as to address the various issues in bamboo taxonomy including systematics and evolution. The use of RFLP markers were the first of its kind, in investigating genetic variability and phylogenetic relationships among different *Phyllostachys* species (Friar and Kochert, 1991). RAPD has been used for the genetic profiling of Yushania (Hsiao and Riesebergh, 1994), Phyllostachys (Gielis et al., 1997; Ding and Zhao, 1998), Bambusa (Nayak et al., 2003) and *Dendrocalamus* (Eevera et al., 2008). Molecular analysis using RAPD has been employed for Ochlandra travancorica of the Western Ghats (Nag et al., 2013) and O. stridula of Sri Lanka (Ramanayake et al., 2006) to assess the genetic diversity of the species. Shalini et al. (2010) investigated the genetic relationship of bamboo species belonging to three genera, Bambusa, Dendrocalamus and Guadua using RAPD. AFLP based identification has been carried out in *Phyllostachys*, *Bambusa*, Fargesia species and their cultivars (Bennet and Gaur, 1990). AFLP has been used as the basis for the early assessment and the construction of genetic maps in Dendrocalamus strictus and D. giganteus (Gielis et al., 1997) as well as to evaluate the genetic relationships of four genera within the subtribe Bambusinae (Loh et al., 2000). Marulanda et al. (2002) employed AFLP markers to establish the genetic relationship between multiple accessions and biotypes of Guadua angustifolia. Mukherjee et al. (2010) evaluated the genetic relationships among 22 taxa of bamboo using ISSR markers as well as expressed sequence tag (EST) based random primers. Yang et al. (2012) reported the use of ISSR markers in genetic diversity assessment of D. membranaceus in Yunnan. Six microsatellites were also isolated from B. arundinacea (B. bambos) and their cross species amplification was tested in 18 other bamboo species (Nayak and Rout, 2005). Marulanda et al. (2007) evaluated the genetic diversity of Guadua augustifolia using microsatellite sequences of rice and sugarcane species. A total of 98 mapped SSR primers representing 12 linkage groups of rice and 20 EST derived sugarcane SSR primers were evaluated for the transferability to 23 bamboo species (Sharma et al., 2008). Yasodha (2010) identified microsatellites in the tribe Bambuseae for the analysis of morphologically indistinguishable bamboo species and investigated their genetic diversity pattern. Lin et al. (2014) analyzed the association between SSR and transposable elements and estimated the outcrossing rates in Phyllostachys pubescens. Zhao et al. (2015) presented the first genome wide microsatellites in moso bamboo (Phyllostachys edulis) and their results showed that microsatellite markers are valuable for investigating the genomic studies in bamboo and related grass species.

Extensive research have also been carried out using sequences of coding as well as non-coding regions of DNA (viz. ndhF, rps16 intron, rpl16 intron, trnD-T intergenic spacer, trnL-F intergenic spacer, atpB-rbcL intergenic spacer, etc.) for tracing the origin and evolution of major lineages in Poaceae (Watanabe et al., 1994; Clark et al., 1995; Kelchner and Clark, 1997; Clark et al., 2007; Yang, 2008; Sunkaew et al.,

2009; Triplett *et al.*, 2010; Zheng *et al.*, 2010; Zhang *et al.*, 2011; BPG, 2012; Kelchner and BPG, 2013). Even though molecular markers have been widely used across various genera and species, so far they have not been employed for conclusive species discrimination and identification in bamboos.

DNA barcoding for species identification and biosystematics

The concept of using short stretch of DNA sequences as barcode for species identification has been proposed using CO1 barcode region in animals (Hebert et al., 2003). Subsequently, based on the discrimination power across various angiosperm genera, a core barcode comprising matK+rbcL together with psbA-trnH as well as the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS2) has been recommended for barcoding in plants (CBOL, 2009; Hollingsworth et al., 2009) since it became apparent that COI is ineffective for the purpose in plants. Several studies have been reported on barcoding in plants using these CBOL recommended barcode regions either alone or in combinations (Newmaster et al., 2006; Kress and Erickson, 2007; Chase et al., 2007; Hollingsworth et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2010; Hollingsworth et al., 2011, Clement et al., 2012). This multilocus core barcode system envisages to tackle the taxonomical complexities related to species identification in plants. DNA barcoding in closely related or recently diverged species is found to be a great challenge in some instances, both in terms of a suitable combination of barcoding regions as well as universality of primers used. Similarly, in some taxonomically complex groups, due to the problematic levels of hybridization, introgression or incomplete lineage sorting the exact identification of species using a barcoding approach is a difficult task (Ennos et al., 2005; Hollingsworth et al., 2011). Collins and Cruickshank (2012) reported seven possible setbacks that were commonly observed in barcoding and recommended some potential improvements for more reliable and accurate outcomes in DNA barcoding works.

DNA barcoding is gaining more attention nowadays, because of its accuracy as compared to taxonomy species identifications in plants. DNA barcoding has been extensively used in wide range of angiosperm taxa such as Allium (Anvarkhah et al., 2013), Phyllanthus (Awomukwu et al., 2014), Ocimum (Anbhazhagan et al., 2014), Sapotaceae (Vivas et al., 2014), Dendrobium (Xu et al., 2015), figs (Castro et al., 2015), among others and also found to be an effective tool for the biodiversity assessment and conservation of temperate (Liu et al., 2014) as well as tropical tree species (Huang et al., 2015). DNA barcoding has also been effectively utilized in other plant groups viz. Gymnosperms (Sass et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011), Bryophytes (Li et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2011), Ferns (Nitta, 2008; Ebihara et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2010; de Groot et al., 2011). At present, DNA barcoding has been highly exploited in species identification of medicinal plants as well as for tracing the adulteration (Techen et al., 2014; Palhares et al., 2015). Trivedi et al. (2015) reviewed the progress of DNA barcoding in marine plant species and nuclear ITS for Halophila (Waycott et al., 2002; Uchimura et al., 2008), trnK introns and rbcL for Zostera (Les et al., 2002), rbcL and matK for seagrasses (Lucas et al., 2012) have been reported. Buchheim et al. (2011) proposed ITS2 as a potential barcode for species confirmation

in algae. DNA barcoding has been suggested as a powerful tool for species identification in one of the largest marine green algal genera, *Codium* (Lee *et al.*, 2015). DNA barcoding has also been reported in aquatic duckweeds (Wang *et al.*, 2010), Nymphaea (Biswal *et al.*, 2012) and *Potamogeton* (Ito *et al.*, 2014). DNA barcoding has also reported to be an effective tool for the efficient distinction of invasive aquatic plant species from non-invasive related species (Ghahramanzadeh *et al.*, 2013).

DNA barcoding in the family Poaceae

DNA barcoding has been developed in many of the taxonomic challenging lineages in the grass family Poaceae. Drumwright *et al.* (2011) surveyed and tested grass species across the family for locating a DNA barcode and suggested *matK+rbcL* as the core barcode for species identification with 95% accuracy. Lopez-Alvarez *et al.* (2012) employed widely accepted barcoding regions *trnL-F* and *ITS2* to clearly discriminate among *Brachypodium distachyon*, *B. stacei* and *B. hybridum*. Analysis has been carried out using five barcode regions in the genus *Leymus* of the tribe Triticeae but was unable to locate a discriminant barcode (Liu *et al.*, 2014). The discrimination power of *matK* in the family of Poaceae has also been reported (Jethra *et al.*, 2014). High frequency of cpDNA inversions leading to huge amount of intraspecific variations has been demonstrated in the tribe Triticaceae using three barcode regions (*matK*, *rbcL* and *psbA-trnH*) (Bieniek *et al.*, 2014). Divergence dating of *Poa* in the Australasian region has been investigated using three plastid gene regions (*rbcL*, *matK*, *rpl32-trnL* spacer) as well as two nuclear gene regions (*ITS* and *ETS*) (Birch *et al.*, 2014).

DNA barcoding in bamboos

DNA barcoding research has started gaining momentum in the taxonomically challenging species of various bamboo genera only recently. Cai *et al.* (2012) tested the feasibility of the four proposed barcoding loci *viz. matK*, *rbcL*, *psbA-trnH*, *ITS2* in temperate woody bamboos and suggested the combination of *rbcL+ITS2* as a potential barcode for species discrimination. The recommended barcode region, *matK* failed to discriminate *Bambusa* species due to interspecific hybridization and polyploidy (Das *et al.*, 2013). The low discriminatory power of the core barcode (*rbcL+matK*) as well as greater discriminatory power of *trnG-trnT* spacer in bamboos has also been reported (Zhang *et al.*, 2013). Sosa *et al.* (2013) evaluated the efficiency of *matK*, *rbcL* and the intergenic spacer *psbK-psbI* and *matK+psbK-psbI* has been reported as the discriminant barcode loci in temperate bamboos.

Preliminary studies carried out by the authors on the potential of the CBOL recommended barcodes in the bamboo genera of importance to the Indian subcontinent and in the endemic bamboo genera of the Western Ghats region, indicate that the five recommended barcode regions (*rbcl, matK, trnH-psbA, rpoB, rpoC*) tried singly failed to show species discrimination. The barcode region *trnH-psbA* in combination with other spacer regions was ideal for discriminating the commercially

important species mainly belonging to the genera *Bambusa* and *Dendrocalamus*. Further studies to address the problems of species identification and biosystematics prevailing in bamboo taxonomy are clearly required. In commercial bamboos, where precise identification of species at the juvenile stage is difficult, DNA barcoding is now seen as an essential and dependable tool for confirming the species identity of the multiplied planting material in the nursery before the establishment of large scale plantations. Thus DNA barcoding has a critical role to play in the process of certification of quality planting material of bamboo which is being implemented in India by the National Bamboo Mission.

CONCLUSION

Species identification in bamboo, based solely on morphology, is a challenging task due to the environmental plasticity as well as dearth of distinguishable characters, primarily due to the absence of flowers during much of the lifecycle. The identification of planting material derived from superior clones needs accurate identification of the species. Due to the lack of sufficient technical expertise for morphology based identification, coupled with absence of discriminating characters at the juvenile phase, some misidentification is unavoidable. A major hurdle in the certification of quality planting stock is the inability to precisely identify species at the juvenile phase and in nurseries. A well defined molecular tool like DNA barcoding has been found as an alternative or a supplementary tool to address the various taxonomic complexities prevailing in the taxonomically challenging bamboo species. The species specific barcode if developed can serve as a species identification tool for the certification of planting stock materials at the nursery level before the establishment of large scale plantations. DNA barcoding has the potential to serve as a novel molecular technique to complement the conventional methods in the identification of taxonomically challenging bamboo species.

REFERENCES

- Anbazhagan, M., Elayaraja, B., Sudharson, S., Balachandran, B. and Arumugam, K. 2014. Identification of *Ocimum* species through DNA barcodes. *International Journal of Current Science*. 13: 127-137.
- Anvarkhah, S., Hosseini, M.K., Hassan Rashed, M. M., Panah, A.D.E. and Hashemi,
 H. 2013. Identification of three species of genus *Allium* using DNA barcoding. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*. 5: 1195-1203.
- Attigala, L., Kathriarachchi, H. S. and Clark, L.G. 2016. Taxonomic Revision of the Temperate Woody Bamboo Genus *Kuruna* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae: Arundinarieae). *Systematic Botany.* 41(1):174-196.
- Awomukwu, D. A., Nyananyo, B.L., Spies, P. and Sizani, B.L. 2015. Identification, Validation and classification of the genus *Phyllanthus* in Nigeria using *ITS* genetic marker and the taxonomic implication. *International Journal of*

- *Genetics and Genomics*. 3(1): 1-7.
- Bahadur, K.N. and Jain, S.S. 1981. Rare bamboos in India. *Indian Journal of Forestry*. 4(4): 280-286.
- Bamboo Phylogeny Group, 2012. An updated tribal and subtribal classification of the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). In: Proceedings of the 9th World Bamboo Congress, 10–12 April 2012, Antwerp, Belgium, pp. 3–27.
- Bennet, S.S.R. and Gaur, R.C. 1990. Thirty seven bamboos growing in India. Forest Research Institute, Dehra Dun.
- Bieniek, W., Mizianty, M. and Szklarczyk, M. 2015. Sequence variation at the three chloroplast loci (*matK*, *rbcL*, *trnH-psbA*) in the Triticeae tribe (Poaceae): comments on the relationships and utility in DNA barcoding of selected species. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*. 301: 1275–1286.
- Birch, J. L., Cantrill, D. J., Walsh, N.G. and Murphy, D. J. 2014. Phylogenetic investigation and divergence dating of *Poa* (Poaceae: tribe *Poeae*) in the Australasian Region. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society.* 175: 523–552.
- Biswal, D.K., Debnath, M., Kumar, S. and Tandon, P. 2012. Phylogenetic reconstruction in the Order Nymphaeales: *ITS2* secondary structure analysis and in silico testing of maturase k (*matK*) as a potential marker for DNA barcoding. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 13:S26
- Buchheim, M.A., Keller, A., Koetschan, C., Forster, F., Merget, B. and Wolf, M. 2011. Internal Transcribed Spacer 2 (nu *ITS2* rRNA) Sequence-Structure Phylogenetics: Towards an Automated Reconstruction of the Green Algal Tree of Life. *PLoS One*. 6(2): e16931.
- Bystriakova, N., Kapos, V., Lysenko, I. and Stapleton, C. 2003. Distribution and conservation status of forest bamboo biodiversity in the Asia-Pacific region. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 12: 1833–1841.
- Cai, Z.M., Zhang, Y.X., Zhang, L.N., Gao, L.M. and Li, D.H. 2012. Testing four candidate barcoding markers in temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). *Journal of Systematics and Evolution.* 50 (6): 527-539.
- Castro, C., Hernandez, A., Alvarado, L. and Flores, D. 2015. DNA Barcodes in Fig Cultivars (*Ficus carica* L.) Using *ITS* Regions of Ribosomal DNA, the *psbA-trnH* Spacer and the *matK* Coding Sequence. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 6: 95-102.
- CBOL Plant Working Group, 2009. DNA barcoding in land plants. *PNAS*. 106: 12794–12797.
- Chao, C.S. and Renvoize, S.A. 1989. Revision of species described under *Arundinaria* (Gramineae) in South East Asia and Africa. *Kew Bulletin*. 44: 349-367.
- Chase, M.W., Cowan, R.S., Hollingsworth, P.M., van den Berg, C. and Madrinan, S. 2007. A proposal for a standardised protocol to barcode all land plants. *Taxon*. 56: 295–299.

- Clark, L.G., Dransfield, S., Triplett, J. and Sanchez-Ken, J.G. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships among the one-flowered, determinate genera of Bambuseae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). *Aliso*. 23: 315–332.
- Clark, L.G., Zhang, W. and Wendel, J.F. 1995. A phylogeny of the grass family (Poaceae) based on *ndhF* sequence data. *Systematic Botany*. 20: 436–460.
- Clement, W.L. and Donoghue, M.J. 2012. Barcoding success as a function of phylogenetic relatedness in *Viburnum*, a clade of woody angiosperms. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*. 12(1): 73-77.
- Collins, R.A. and Cruickshank, R. H. 2012. The seven deadly sins of DNA barcoding. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 13(6): 969-975.
- Das, M.M., Mahadani, P., Singh, R., Karmakar, K. and Ghosh, S.K. 2013. *matK* sequence based plant DNA barcoding failed to identify *Bambusa* (Family: Poaceae) species from Northeast India. *Journal of Environment and Sociobiology*. 10(1): 49-54.
- de Groot, G.A., During, H.J., Maas, J.W., Schneider, H. and Vogel, J.C. *et al.* 2011. Use of *rbcL* and *trnL-F* as a two-Locus DNA Barcode for identification of NW European Ferns: An ecological perspective. *PLoS One*. 6(1): e16371
- Ding, Y.L. and Zhao, Q.S. 1994. Studies on the comparative anatomy of bamboo leaves and its significance for bamboo systematic taxonomy. *Journal of Nanjing Forestry University*. 18 (3): 1-6.
- Dransfield, S. 1980. Bamboo taxonomy in the Indo-Malayan region. Bamboo Research in Asia. Proceedings of a Workshop, 28-30 May 1980, Singapore. IDRC, Ottawa: 121-130.
- Drumwright, A.M., Allen, B.W., Huff, K.A., Ritchey, P.A. and Cahoon, A.B. 2011. Survey and DNA Barcoding of Poaceae in Flat Rock Cedar Glades and Barrens State Natural Area, Murfreesboro, Tennessee. *Castanea*. 76(3): 300-310.
- Ebihara, A., Nitta, J.H. and Ito, M. 2010. Molecular Species Identification with Rich Floristic Sampling: DNA Barcoding the pteridophyte flora of Japan. *PLoS One*. 5(12): e15136.
- Eevera, T., Rajandran, K., Saradha, S. and Lashmi, A. 2008. Analysis of genetic variation in selected bamboo species. *Tree and Forestry Science and Biotechnology*. 2(1): 54-56.
- Ennos, R.A., French, G.C. and Hollingsworth, P.M. 2005. Conserving taxonomic complexity. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. 20: 164–168.
- Friar, E. and Kochert, G. 1991. Bamboo germplasm screening with nuclear restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 82: 697–703.
- Gamble, J.S. 1896. The Bambuseae of British India. *Annals of the Royal Botanic Garden*. 7(1): 1-133.
- Ghahramanzadeh, R., Esselink, G., Kodde, L.P., Duistermaat, H., Van Valkenburg, J. L.C.H., Marashi, S. H., Smulders, M. J. M. and Van De Wiel, C. C. M. 2013.

- Efficient distinction of invasive aquatic plant species from non-invasive related species using DNA barcoding. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 13: 21–31.
- Gielis, J., Everaert, I. and De Loose, M. 1997. Analysis of genetic variability and relationships in *Phyllostachys* using random amplified polymorphic DNA. In: Chapman G (Ed.) The Bamboos, Academic Press, London. 107-124.
- Goyal, A.K., Kar, Pallab. And Sen, A. 2013. Advancement of bamboo taxonomy in the era of molecular biology: a review. In: Arnab S (Ed.) Biology of useful plants and microbes, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India. 107-208.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L. and deWaard, J.R. 2003. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological Sciences*. 270: 313–321.
- Hollingsworth, M.L., Clark, A.A., Forrest, L.L., Richardson, J. and Pennington, R.T. 2009. Selecting barcoding loci for plants: evaluation of seven candidate loci with species-level sampling in three divergent groups of land plants. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 9: 439–457.
- Hollingsworth, P.M., Graham, S.W. and Little, D.P. 2011. Choosing and using a plant DNA barcode. *PLoS One*. 6: e19254.
- Hsiao, L.Y. and Rieseberg, L.H. 1994. Population genetic structure of *Yushania niitakayamensis* (Bambusoideae, Poaceae) in Taiwan. *Molecular Ecology.* 3: 201-208.
- Huang X. C., Ci, X.Q., Conran, J.G. and Li, J. 2015. Application of DNA Barcodes in Asian Tropical Trees A Case Study from Xishuangbanna Nature Reserve, Southwest China. *PLoS One.* 10(6): e0129295.
- Ito, Y., Tanaka, N., Pooma, R. and Tanaka, N. 2014. DNA barcoding reveals a new record of *Potamogeton distinctus* (Potamogetonaceae) and its natural hybrids, *P. distinctus* × *P. nodosus* and *P. distinctus* × *P. wrightii* (*P. malainoides*) from Myanmar. *Biodiversity Data Journal*. 2: e1073.
- Jethra, G., Mishra, A.K., Pandey, P.S., Choudhary, S. and Chandrasekharan, H. 2014. Phylogenetic and structural scrutiny of *matK* gene from wheat representing Poaceae family for DNA barcoding. *International Journal of Science and Nature*. 5 (1): 141-146.
- Kelchner, S.A. and Bamboo Phylogeny Group. 2013. Higher level phylogenetic relationships within the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) based on five plastid markers. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 67(2): 404-413.
- Kelchner, S.A. and Clark, L.G. 1997. Molecular evolution and phylogenetic utility of the *rpl16* intron in Chusquea and the Bambusoideae (Poaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 8: 385–397.
- Kress, W.J. and Erickson, D.L. 2007. A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: The coding *rbcL* gene complements the non-coding *trnH-psbA* spacer region. *PLoS One*. 2: e508.
- Kumar, M. 1995. A re-investigation on the taxonomy of the genus *Ochlandra* Thw. (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). *Rheedea*. 5: 63-89.

- Kumar, M. 2011. Bamboos of Peninsular India: All India Coordinated Project on Taxonomy (AICOPTAX): Grasses and Bamboos Part-II. Art Options, New Delhi.
- Kumar, M. and Remesh, M. 2008. *Munrochloa*, A new genus (Poaceae; Bambusoideae) with a new combination from India. *Journal of Botanical Research*. 2(1): 373-378.
- Kumar, M., Remesh, M. and Sequiera, S. 2001. *Ochlandra keralensis* (Poaceae-Bambusoideae) A new reed-bamboo from Southern Western Ghats, India. *Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany*. 25: 49-51.
- Kumar, M., Seethalakshmi, K.K. and Sequiera, S. 1999. Two new species of *Ochlandra* Thw. (Poaceae Bambusoideae) from Southern India. *Rheedea*. 9:31-35.
- Lee, H.W. and Kim, M.S. 2015. Species delimitation in the green algal genus *Codium* (Bryopsidales) from Korea using DNA barcoding. *Acta Oceanologia Sinica*. 34 (4): 114-124.
- Les, D.H., Moody, M.L., Jacobs, S.W.L. and Bayer, R.J., 2002. Systematics of seagrasses (Zosteraceae) in Australia and New Zealand. *Systematic Botany*. 27: 468–484.
- Li, Y., Gao, L.M., Poudel, R.C., Li, D.H. and Forrest, A. 2011. High universality of *matK* primers for barcoding gymnosperms. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution*. 49 (3): 169–175.
- Li, F.W., Tan, B., Buchbender, V., Moran, R. and Rouhan, G. *et al.* 2009. Identifying a mysterious aquatic fern gametophyte. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*. 281: 77–86.
- Lin, Y., Lu, J.J., Wu, M.D., Zhu, M.B., Fang, W., Ide, Y. and Tang, Q. D. 2014. Identification, cross-taxon transferability and application of full-length cDNA SSR markers in *Phyllostachys pubescens*. *Springer Plus*. 3: 486.
- Liu, J., Yan, H.F., Newmaster, S.G., Pei, N., Ragupathy, S. and Ge, X.J. 2014. The use of DNA barcoding as a tool for the conservation biogeography of subtropical forests in China Biodiversity Research. *Diversity and Distributions:* 21 (2): 188-199.
- Liu, Y., Yan, H.F., Cao, T. and Ge, X.J. 2010. Evaluation of 10 plant barcodes in Bryophyta (Mosses). *Journal of Systematics and Evolution*. 48 (1): 36–46.
- Liu, J., Zhou, S., Li, Z., Dong, W. and Yang, R. 2014. DNA barcoding of *Leymus* (Poaceae). *Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science*. 2(2): 1-11.
- Loh, J.P., Kiew, R., Set, O., Gan, L.H. and Gan, Y.Y. 2000. A study of genetic variation and relationships within the bamboo subtribe using Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism. *Annals of Botany*. 85: 607-612.
- Lopez-Alvarez, D., Lopez-Herranz, M.L., Betekhtin, A. and Catalan, P. 2012. A DNA barcoding method to discriminate between the model plant *Brachypodium distachyon* and its close relatives *B. stacei* and *B. hybridum* (Poaceae). *PLoS*

- One. 7(12): e51058.
- Lucas, C., Thangaradjou, T. and Papenbrock, J. 2012. Development of a DNA Barcoding System for Seagrasses: Successful but Not Simple. *PLoS One*. 7(1): e29987.
- Ma, X.Y. Xie, C.X., Song, J.Y., Yao, H., Luo, K., Zhu, Y.J., Gao, T., Pang, X.H., Qian, J. and Chen, S.L. 2010. Species identification of medicinal pteridophytes by a DNA barcode marker, the chloroplast *psbA-trnH* intergenic region. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*. 33(11): 1919-1924.
- Majumdar, R.B. 1989. *In*: Karthikeyan S., Jain S.K., Nayar M.P. and Sanjappa M. Flora Indicae, *Enumeratio Monocotyledonae*. Botanical Survey of India, Howrah, Calcutta: 274-283.
- Marulanda, M.L., Lopez, A.M. and Claroz, J.L. 2007. Analyzing the genetic diversity of *Guadua* spp. in Colombia using rise and sugar cane microsatellites. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*. 7: 43-51.
- Marulanda, M.L., Marquez, P. and Londono, X. 2002. AFLP analysis of *Guadua angustifolia* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) in Colombia with emphasis on the Coffee Region. *Bamboo Science and Culture: The Journal of the American Bamboo Society.* 16(1): 32-42.
- McClure, F. A. 1966. The Bamboos: A Fresh Perspective. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA. 347pp.
- Mukherjee, A.K., Ratha, S. S., Dhar, S., Debata, A.K., Acharya, P.K., Mandal, S., Panda, C.P. and Mahapatra, A.K. 2010. Genetic relationships among 22 taxa of bamboo revealed by ISSR and EST-based random primers. *Biochemical Genetics*. 48 (11): 1015-1025.
- Munro, W. 1868. A monograph of the Bambuseae. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London*. 26: 1-157.
- Nag, A., Gupta, P., Sharma, V., Sood, A., Ahuja, S.P. and Sharma, K.R. 2013. AFLP and RAPD based genetic diversity assessment of industrially important reed bamboo (*Ochlandra travancorica* Benth). *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. 22: 144-149.
- Nakai, T. 1925. The new genera of Bambusaceae with special remarks on the related genera growing in eastern Asia. *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum.* 6(3): 145-153.
- Nayak, S. and Rout, G.R. 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellites in *Bambusa arundinacea* and cross species amplification in other bamboos. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 4(2):151-156.
- Nayak, S., Rout, G.R. and Das, P. 2003. Evaluation of the genetic variability in bamboo using RAPD markers. *Plant Soil and Environment*. 49(1): 24–28.
- Newmaster, S.G., Fazekas, A.J. and Ragupathy, S. 2006. DNA barcoding in land plants: evaluation of *rbcL* in a multigene tiered approach. *Canadian Journal of Botany*. 84: 335–341.
- Nitta, J.H. 2008. Exploring the utility of three plastid loci for biocoding the filmy ferns

- (Hymenophyllaceae) of Moorea. Taxon. 57: 725–736.
- Orhnberger, D. and Georrings, J. 1986. The Bamboos of the World. International Book Publishers, Dehra Dun, India.
- Palhares, R.M., Drummond, M.G., dos Santos Alves Figueiredo Brasil B., Cosenza, G.P., das Gracas Lins Brandao M. and Oliveira, G. 2015. Medicinal plants recommended by the World Health Organization: DNA Barcode Identification Associated with chemical analyses guarantees their quality. *PLoS One*. 10(5): e0127866.
- Ramanayakae, S.M.S.D., Meemaduma, V.N. and Weerawardhanae, T.E. 2006. Genetic diversity and relationships within populations of *Dendrocalamus giganteus* Wall ex Munro and *Ochlandra stridula* Moon ex Thwaites in Sri Lanka using RAPD markers. *Journal of Bamboo and Rattan*. 5: 141-149.
- Sass, C., Little, D.P., Stevenson, D.W. and Specht, C.D. 2007. DNA barcoding in the Cycadales: testing the potential of proposed barcoding markers for species identification of Cycads. *PLoS One*. 2: e1154.
- Seethalakshmi, K.K. and Kumar, M. 1998. Bamboos of India: A Compendium. Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi and International Network for Bamboo and Rattan, Beijing.
- Shalini, A., Meena, R.K., Tarafdar, S. and Thakur, S. 2013. Evaluation of genetic diversity in bamboo through DNA marker and study of association with morphological traits. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*. 2 (8): 78-83.
- Sharma, M.L. 1996. The genus *Oxytenanthera* in Asia. *Indian Forester*. 122(2): 187-188.
- Sharma, R.K., Gupta, P., Sharma, V., Sood, A., Mohapatra, T. and Ahuja, P.S. 2008. Evaluation of rice and sugarcane SSR markers for phylogenetic and genetic diversity analyses in bamboo. *Genome*. 51(2):91-103.
- Soderstrom, T.R. and Ellis, R.P. 1987. The position of bamboo genera and allies in a system of grass classification. Grass systematics and evolution. Smithsonian Press, Washington: 225-233.
- Sosa, V., Saules, M.T., Cuellar, M.A. and Vovides, A.P. 2013. DNA barcoding in endangered Meso American groups of plants. *Botanical Review.* 79(4): 469-482.
- Sungkaew, S., Stapleton, S.M.A., Salamin, N. and Hodkinson, T.R. 2009. Non-monophyly of the woody bamboos (Bambuseae; Poaceae): a multi-gene region phylogenetic analysis of Bambusoideae s.s. *Journal of Plant Research*. 122: 95–108.
- Techen, N., Parveen, I., Pan, Z. and Khan, I.A. 2014. DNA barcoding of medicinal plant material for identification. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology.* 25: 103–110.
- Tewari, D.N. 1992. A Monograph on Bamboo. International Book Distributors, Dehra Dun: 152-156.

- Triplett, J.K. and Clark, L.G. 2010. Phylogeny of the temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) with an emphasis on *Arundinaria* and allies. *Systematic Botany*. 35: 102–120.
- Trivedi, S., Aloufi, A. A., Ansari, A. A. and Ghosh, S.K. 2015. Role of DNA barcoding in marine biodiversity assessment and conservation: An update. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 23(2): 161-171.
- Uchimura, M., Faye, E.J., Shimada, S., Inoue, T. and Nakamura, Y. 2008. A reassessment of *Halophila* species (Hydrocharitaceae) diversity with special reference to Japanese representatives. *Botanical Markers*. 51: 258–268.
- Unnikrishnan, P. 2003. Taxonomic studies on the bamboos of South India, PhD Thesis, University of Calicut.
- Usui, H. 1957. Morphological studies on the prophyll of Japanese bamboos. *Tokyo Botany Magazine*. 70: 223–227.
- Vivas, C.V. and Moraes, R.C.S. 2014. DNA barcoding in Atlantic Forest plants: What is the best marker for Sapotaceae species identification?. *Genetics and Molecular Biology.* 37(4): 662-670.
- Wang, W., Wu, Y., Yan, Y., Ermakova, M., Kerstetter, R. and Messing, J. 2010. DNA barcoding of the Lemnaceae, a family of aquatic monocots. *BMC Plant Biology*. 10: 205-216.
- Watanabe, M., Ito, M. and Kurita, S. 1994. Chloroplast DNA phylogeny of Asian bamboos (Bambusoideae, Poaceae) and its systematic implication. *Journal of Plant Research*. 107: 253–261.
- Waycott, M., Duarte, C.M., Carruthers, T.J.B., *et al.* 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. *PNAS*. 106: 12377–12381.
- Xu, S., Li, D., Li, J., Xiang, X., Jin, W., Huang, *et al.* 2015. Evaluation of the DNA Barcodes in *Dendrobium* (Orchidaceae) from Mainland Asia. *PLoS One*. 10(1): e0115168.
- Yan L., Xiu, W.J., Jun, G.X. and Tong, C. 2011. The *rps4* locus as an alternative marker for barcoding bryophytes: evaluation based on data mining from GenBank. *Biodiversity Science*. 19 (3): 311-318.
- Yang, H.Q., An, M.Y., Gu, Z.J. and Tian, B. 2012. Genetic Diversity and Differentiation of *Dendrocalamus membranaceus* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae), a declining bamboo species in Yunnan, China, as based on inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) analysis. *International Journal of Molecular Science*. 13: 4446-4457.
- Yang, H.Q., Yang, J.B., Peng, Z.H., Gao, J., Yang, Y.M., Peng, S. and Li, D. Z. 2008. A molecular phylogenetic and fruit evolutionary analysis of the major groups of the paleotropical woody bamboos (Gramineae: Bambusoideae) based on nuclear *ITS*, *GBSSI* gene and plastid *trnL-F* DNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 48: 809–824.
- Yao, H., Song, J., Liu, C., Luo, K., Han, J., Li, Y., Pang, X., Xu, H., Zhu, Y., Xiao, P.

- and Chen, S. 2010. Use of *ITS2* region as the universal barcode for plants and animals. *PLoS One*. 5 (10): e13102.
- Yasodha, R. 2010. Characterization of microsatellite in the tribe Bambuseae. *Gene Conserve*. 10 (39): 51-64.
- Zhang, Y.J., Ma, P.F. and Li, D.Z. 2011. High-throughput sequencing of six bamboo chloroplast genomes: phylogenetic implications for temperate woody bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). *PLoS One*. 6: e20596.
- Zhang, Y.X., Xu, Y.X., Ma, P.F., Zhang, L.N. and Li, D.Z. 2013. Selection of potential plastid DNA barcodes for Bambusoideae (Poaceae). *Plant Diversity and Resources*. 35 (6): 743-750.
- Zhao, H., Yang, L., Peng, Z., Sun, H., Yue, X., Lou, Y., Dong, L., Wang, L. and Gao, Z. 2015. Developing genome-wide microsatellite markers of bamboo and their applications on molecular marker assisted taxonomy for accessions in the genus *Phyllostachys. Scientific Reports*: 5. Article number: 8018 doi: 10.1038/srep08018.
- Zheng, C.Z., Zhang, Y.X., Triplett, J.K., Yang, J.B. and Li, D.Z. 2010. Large multi-locus plastid phylogeny of the tribe Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) reveals ten major lineages and low rate of molecular divergence. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 56: 821–839.